

Important Circular

**OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER GENERAL OF DEFENCE ACCOUNTS
Ulan Batar Road, Palam, Delhi Cantt. -110010.**

No. AN/III/3053/RTI/SSJ-332/C.No. 3124

dated 30/09/2016

To,

All PCsDA/CsDA/IFAs

Subject: Compliance of CIC decision regarding uploading information contained in section 4(1) (c&d), 4(2), 4(3) and 4(4) of RTI Act in public domain.

It has been directed by the CIC vide their letter No. CIC/RM/A/2014/003965/SD dated 05/09/2016 that the information contained in section 4(1) (c&d), 4(2), 4(3) and 4(4) of RTI Act 2005 should be suo-moto disclosed and placed in public domain by every public authority.

It is therefore, requested to all independent public authorities to disclose the information suo-moto as per section 4(1) (c&d), 4(2), 4(3) and 4(4) of RTI Act and place it in public domain in compliance of CIC direction.

~~Copy~~
(T.K. Jajoria)
Sr. Dy. CGDA(AN)

Encl. Annexure 'A'.

Copy to:

AN-I section (local)

The EDP (Local)

For similar action as above please.

With a request to upload on official website.

—sd—
Sr. AO(AN)

28

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
Club Building (Near Post Office)
Old JNU Campus, New Delhi-110067
Tel: +91-11-26106140/26179548
Email – raghu.s@nic.in

File No. CIC/RM/A/2014/003965/SD
 Date of Decision:05/09/2016

Relevant facts emerging from the Appeal:

2272/2/16
 16/9,

Appellant	:	Sham Singh Jamwal 126 F Mohalla New Plots, 1 st Lane (Near Upper Water Point), P.O- Rehari Teh & Distt-Jammu (J & K)
Respondent	✓	SO (DAD Coord) & CPIO DAD (Coord) Room No-24-A, South Block New Delhi
RTI application filed on	:	25/01/2014
PIO replied on	:	11/02/2014
First appeal filed on	:	04/03/2014
First Appellate Authority order	:	25/03/2014
Second Appeal dated	:	30/04/2014

INFORMATION COMMISSIONER : SHRI DIVYA PRAKASH SINHA

Information sought:

The Appellant sought information through 7 points regarding the proposal for grant of *ad hoc* promotions in terms of Rule 254 GFR submitted by CGDA office not approved by the MoD during the year 2012-13 and 2013-14.

Grounds for the Second Appeal:

CPIO has not complied with the provisions of *suo-moto* disclosure under Section 4(1)(c & d), 4(2), 4(3) and 4(4) of the RTI Act in public domain.

Relevant Facts emerging during Hearing:

The following were present:-

Appellant: Not present.

Respondent: Rajesh Kalia, SO(DAD-Coord) & CPIO, MoD (Finance), New Delhi present in person.

CPIO submitted that information sought in the RTI Application has been provided to the Appellant.

Decision

Commission observes that the Second Appeal is for issuing directions to the CPIO for putting information contained in Section 4(1)(c & d), 4(2), 4(3) and 4(4) of the RTI Act in public domain.


Commission directs the CPIO to take necessary action as per prayer of the Appellant. Copy of Commission's order should be sent by CPIO to all his subordinate offices for taking similar action. Commission's direction should be complied within 30 days of receipt of this order.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Sd/

(Divya Prakash Sinha)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy



(Raghubir Singh)

Dy. Registrar/Designated Officer

06/19/16

